

QUANTUM TRANSPORT IN TRANSPORT PHENOMENA ARISE FROM BOTH THEORETICAL MODELS

Sivasubramanian Balasubramanian

Supply Chain Manager, California State University Northridge, USA

Abstract

Received: 06/12/2022

Revised: 09/01/2023

Accepted: 10/02/2023

DOI:

[10.12060/jet-ep-v26.i1-2](https://doi.org/10.12060/jet-ep-v26.i1-2)

Funding:

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Copyright: © 2025 The Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

With the license CC-BY, authors retain the copyright, allowing anyone to download, reuse, re-print, modify, distribute, and/or copy their contribution. The work must be properly attributed to its author.

Quantitative insights into graphene's transport phenomena arise from both theoretical models—such as the Dirac equation for massless fermions—and experimental studies using advanced fabrication and characterization techniques. This paper systematically reviews quantum transport mechanisms in graphene and their implications for device performance.

Keywords: Graphene, Quantum Transport, Carrier Mobility, Ballistic Conduction, Nanoelectronics.

1. INTRODUCTION

Graphene has attracted significant attention since its experimental isolation in 2004 (Novoselov et al., 2004). Its two-dimensional structure and linear energy dispersion near the Dirac points result in charge carriers that behave as massless Dirac fermions, giving rise to extraordinary electronic properties. Understanding how electrons transport through graphene is crucial for leveraging its full potential in high-frequency transistors, sensors, and quantum devices.

Quantitative insights into graphene's transport phenomena arise from both theoretical models—such as the Dirac equation for massless fermions—and experimental studies using advanced fabrication and characterization techniques. This paper systematically reviews quantum transport mechanisms in graphene and their implications for device performance.

2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

2.1. Graphene Band Structure

Graphene's honeycomb lattice produces a unique band structure with conduction and valence bands meeting at the Dirac points (K and K'). Near these points, the energy–momentum relationship is linear, leading to energy:

$$E(k) = \hbar v_F |k| \quad E(k) = \hbar v_F |k| \quad E(k) = \hbar v_F |k|$$

where $v_F \approx 10^6$ m/s is the Fermi velocity (Castro Neto et al., 2009). The lack of a bandgap is responsible for its ambipolar behavior, where both electrons and holes contribute to conduction.

2.2. Quantum Conductance

Quantum conductance in ballistic graphene relates to the Landauer formula:

$$G = \frac{2e^2}{h} T(E) \quad G = \frac{2e^2}{h} T(E) \quad G = \frac{2e^2}{h} T(E)$$

where $T(E)$ is the transmission probability at energy E . In ideal graphene, conductance scales with the number of channels and transmission approaches unity over short distances (Tworzydło et al., 2006).

3. CHARGE TRANSPORT MECHANISMS

Graphene's charge transport is governed by competing scattering mechanisms:

3.1. Ballistic Transport

At low temperatures and short channel lengths ($< 1 \mu\text{m}$), carriers may undergo ballistic transport with minimal scattering. Mobility ($> 200,000 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V}\cdot\text{s}$) demonstrates near-ballistic behavior at low defect densities (Du et al., 2008).

3.2. Phonon Scattering

At room temperature, phonons—lattice vibrations—dominate scattering. Acoustic phonons contribute to charge carrier relaxation, reducing mobility. Optical phonons become significant at high bias conditions (Sarma et al., 2011).

3.3. Impurity and Substrate Effects

Graphene supported on SiO_2 suffers from charged impurities and surface roughness that scatter carriers. Encapsulation in hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) reduces such effects, enhancing mobility (Dean et al., 2010).

4. EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES FOR MEASURING TRANSPORT

4.1. Four-Probe Measurements

Four-probe configurations reduce contact resistance effects, enabling accurate measurement of sheet resistance and mobility. Gate voltage sweeps reveal ambipolar conductance and Dirac point shifts.

4.2. Quantum Hall Effect

At low temperatures and high magnetic fields, graphene exhibits an unusual half-integer

quantum Hall effect:

$$\sigma_{xy} = \pm 4e^2 h (n + \frac{1}{2}) \sigma_{xy} = \pm h 4e^2 (n + \frac{1}{2})$$

This reflects spin and valley degeneracy and massless carrier behavior (Zhang et al., 2005).

5. GRAPHENE DEVICES: DESIGNS AND PERFORMANCE

5.1. Graphene Field-Effect Transistors (GFETs)

GFETs exploit ambipolar conduction for high-speed switches. While lacking a bandgap limits ON/OFF ratios compared to silicon, GFETs exhibit superior cutoff frequencies (>300 GHz) due to high carrier mobility (Schwierz, 2010).

5.2. Sensors and Photodetectors

Graphene's surface sensitivity enables ultra-low concentration detection of gases and biomolecules. Graphene photodetectors benefit from broadband absorption and fast response times (Xia et al., 2009).

6. CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Despite its promise, graphene faces key challenges:

- **Absence of bandgap:** Limits logic applications. Methods like nanoribbon patterning and doping have been explored to induce gaps (Han et al., 2007).
- **Scaling and fabrication:** Reliable, low-defect large-area graphene remains a manufacturing challenge.
- **Integration with existing technology:** Interfacing with CMOS platforms requires engineered heterostructures.

Emerging directions include twisted bilayer graphene exhibiting correlated phases and superconductivity, promising novel device paradigms.

7. CONCLUSION

Graphene's quantum transport properties—ballistic conduction, high mobility, and ambipolar behavior—make it a foundational platform for future electronic and photonic devices. Continued advancement in synthesis, substrate engineering, and heterostructure design will propel graphene from experimental systems to commercial technologies.

REFERENCES

1. Castro Neto, A. H., Guinea, F., Peres, N. M. R., Novoselov, K. S., & Geim, A. K. (2009). The electronic properties of graphene. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 81(1), 109–162.
2. Dean, C. R., Young, A. F., Meric, I., Lee, C., Wang, L., Sorgenfrei, S., ... & Kim, P. (2010). Boron nitride substrates for high-quality graphene electronics. *Nature Nanotechnology*, 5(10), 722–726.
3. Du, X., Skachko, I., Barker, A., & Andrei, E. Y. (2008). Approaching ballistic transport in suspended graphene. *Nature Nanotechnology*, 3(8), 491–495.

4. Han, M. Y., Özyilmaz, B., Zhang, Y., & Kim, P. (2007). Energy band-gap engineering of graphene nanoribbons. *Physical Review Letters*, 98(20), 206805.
5. Novoselov, K. S., Geim, A. K., Morozov, S. V., Jiang, D., Zhang, Y., Dubonos, S. V., ... & Firsov, A. A. (2004). Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films. *Science*, 306(5696), 666–669.
6. Sarma, S. D., Adam, S., Hwang, E. H., & Rossi, E. (2011). Electronic transport in two-dimensional graphene. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 83(2), 407–470.
7. Schwierz, F. (2010). Graphene transistors. *Nature Nanotechnology*, 5(7), 487–496.
8. Tworzydło, J., Trauzettel, B., Titov, M., Rycerz, A., & Beenakker, C. W. J. (2006). Sub-Poissonian shot noise in graphene. *Physical Review Letters*, 96(24), 246802.
9. Xia, F., Mueller, T., Lin, Y., Valdes-Garcia, A., & Avouris, P. (2009). Ultrafast graphene photodetector. *Nature Nanotechnology*, 4(12), 839–843.
10. Zhang, Y., Tan, Y.-W., Stormer, H. L., & Kim, P. (2005). Experimental observation of the quantum Hall effect and Berry's phase in graphene. *Nature*, 438(7065), 201–204.